

# Diagonals of Rational Functions

Christoph Koutschan

(joint work with Youssef Abdelaziz and Jean-Marie Maillard)

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM)  
Austrian Academy of Sciences

10 February 2020

DART X, The Graduate Center CUNY, New York



## Diagonals

Given a rational function in  $n$  variables

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)},$$

where  $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $Q(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ .

**Definition:** The diagonal of  $R$  is defined through its multi-Taylor expansion around  $(0, \dots, 0)$ :

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} r_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \cdot x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n},$$

as the series in one variable  $x$ :

$$\text{Diag}(R(x_1, \dots, x_n)) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_{m, m, \dots, m} \cdot x^m.$$

## Example of a Diagonal

Consider the Taylor expansion of the bivariate rational function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{1 - x - y - 2xy} \\ &= 1 + x + y + x^2 + 4xy + y^2 + x^3 + 7x^2y + 7xy^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## Example of a Diagonal

Consider the Taylor expansion of the bivariate rational function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{1 - x - y - 2xy} \\ &= 1 + x + y + x^2 + 4xy + y^2 + x^3 + 7x^2y + 7xy^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + y + y^2 + y^3 + y^4 + y^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + x + 4xy + 7xy^2 + 10xy^3 + 13xy^4 + 16xy^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + x^2 + 7x^2y + 22x^2y^2 + 46x^2y^3 + 79x^2y^4 + 121x^2y^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + x^3 + 10x^3y + 46x^3y^2 + 136x^3y^3 + 307x^3y^4 + 586x^3y^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + x^4 + 13x^4y + 79x^4y^2 + 307x^4y^3 + 886x^4y^4 + 2086x^4y^5 + \dots \\ &\quad + x^5 + 16x^5y + 121x^5y^2 + 586x^5y^3 + 2086x^5y^4 + 5944x^5y^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## Example of a Diagonal

Consider the Taylor expansion of the bivariate rational function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{1 - x - y - 2xy} \\ &= 1 + x + y + x^2 + 4xy + y^2 + x^3 + 7x^2y + 7xy^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + y + y^2 + y^3 + y^4 + y^5 + \dots \\ &+ x + 4xy + 7xy^2 + 10xy^3 + 13xy^4 + 16xy^5 + \dots \\ &+ x^2 + 7x^2y + 22x^2y^2 + 46x^2y^3 + 79x^2y^4 + 121x^2y^5 + \dots \\ &+ x^3 + 10x^3y + 46x^3y^2 + 136x^3y^3 + 307x^3y^4 + 586x^3y^5 + \dots \\ &+ x^4 + 13x^4y + 79x^4y^2 + 307x^4y^3 + 886x^4y^4 + 2086x^4y^5 + \dots \\ &+ x^5 + 16x^5y + 121x^5y^2 + 586x^5y^3 + 2086x^5y^4 + 5944x^5y^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then the diagonal of  $f$  is

$$\text{Diag}(f) = 1 + 4x + 22x^2 + 136x^3 + 886x^4 + 5944x^5 + \dots$$

## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.

## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.
- ▶ **D-finite:** there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x][\frac{d}{dx}]$  such that  $L(f) = 0$ .

## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.
- ▶ **D-finite:** there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x][\frac{d}{dx}]$  such that  $L(f) = 0$ .

**Christol's Conjecture:** The converse is also true, i.e., every series satisfying these two properties is the diagonal of a rational function.



## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.
- ▶ **D-finite:** there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x][\frac{d}{dx}]$  such that  $L(f) = 0$ .

**Christol's Conjecture:** The converse is also true, i.e., every series satisfying these two properties is the diagonal of a rational function.

- ▶ This conjecture was first formulated in a paper in 1986 and is still widely open.

## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.
- ▶ **D-finite:** there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x][\frac{d}{dx}]$  such that  $L(f) = 0$ .

**Christol's Conjecture:** The converse is also true, i.e., every series satisfying these two properties is the diagonal of a rational function.

- ▶ This conjecture was first formulated in a paper in 1986 and is still widely open.
- ▶ It doesn't say anything about the number of variables in the rational function.

## Properties of Diagonals

The diagonal  $f(x)$  of every rational function has the properties:

- ▶ **globally bounded:** there exist integers  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , such that  $df(cx) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ , and  $f(x)$  has nonzero radius of convergence.
- ▶ **D-finite:** there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L \in \mathbb{Q}[x][\frac{d}{dx}]$  such that  $L(f) = 0$ .

**Christol's Conjecture:** The converse is also true, i.e., every series satisfying these two properties is the diagonal of a rational function.

- ▶ This conjecture was first formulated in a paper in 1986 and is still widely open.
- ▶ It doesn't say anything about the number of variables in the rational function.
- ▶ One needs at least three variables, but no explicit example requiring more than three variables is known.

# Christol's Conjecture

PROPOSITION : Toute diagonale de fraction rationnelle  $f$  satisfait les propriétés suivantes :

a) Elle est solution d'une équation différentielle linéaire  $L$  à coefficients dans  $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$  .

a') Cette équation différentielle est une équation de Picard Fuchs.

b) Pour toute place  $p$  (finie ou non) de  $\mathbb{Q}$  , le rayon de convergence  $r_p(f)$  de la série  $f$  dans le corps  $\mathbb{C}_p$  est non nul.

c) Pour presque toute place  $p$  de  $\mathbb{Q}$  , on a  $r_p(f) = 1$  .

c') Pour presque toute place  $p$  de  $\mathbb{Q}$  , la fonction  $f$  est bornée dans le disque  $D_p(0,1) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p ; |x| < 1\}$ .

c'') Pour presque toute place  $p$  de  $\mathbb{Q}$  , on a :

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{x \in D_p(0,1)} |f(x)| = 1.$$

Seules les propriétés a) et a') ne sont pas immédiates. On en trouvera une démonstration dans [1] .

Dans cet article nous nous proposons de tester la conjecture suivante sur les fonctions hypergéométriques  $F_{s, s-1}$  :

CONJECTURE : Une série entière  $f$  qui vérifie les propriétés a), b), c), c') et c'') est la diagonale d'une fraction rationnelle.

# Hadamard Product

**Definition:** The Hadamard product of two series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot x^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \cdot x^n$$

is given by

$$f(x) \star g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot \beta_n \cdot x^n.$$

# Hadamard Product

**Definition:** The Hadamard product of two series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot x^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \cdot x^n$$

is given by

$$f(x) \star g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cdot \beta_n \cdot x^n.$$

**Note:** Diagonals are closed under the Hadamard product, i.e., if two series are diagonals of rational functions, their Hadamard product is also a diagonal of a rational function.

# Hypergeometric Series

**Definition:** Let  $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ . Then

$${}_pF_q([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_q], x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

# Hypergeometric Series

**Definition:** Let  $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ . Then

$${}_pF_q([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_q], x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

**Note:** Any such hypergeometric function is D-finite, for example: the classical Gauß hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  function satisfies Euler's differential equation:

$$x(x-1)y''(x) + ((a+b+1)x - c)y'(x) + aby(x) = 0.$$



# Hypergeometric Series

**Definition:** Let  $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ . Then

$${}_pF_q([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_q], x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

**Note:** Any such hypergeometric function is D-finite, for example: the classical Gauß hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  function satisfies Euler's differential equation:

$$x(x-1)y''(x) + ((a+b+1)x - c)y'(x) + aby(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, hypergeometric functions of the form  ${}_pF_{p-1}$  provide a natural testing ground for Christol's conjecture.

# Hypergeometric Series

**Definition:** Let  $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ . Then

$${}_pF_q([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_q], x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

**Note:** Any such hypergeometric function is D-finite, for example: the classical Gauß hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  function satisfies Euler's differential equation:

$$x(x-1)y''(x) + ((a+b+1)x - c)y'(x) + aby(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, hypergeometric functions of the form  ${}_pF_{p-1}$  provide a natural testing ground for Christol's conjecture.

- ▶ If  $q < p - 1$  then the  ${}_pF_q$  series has zero radius of convergence.

# Hypergeometric Series

**Definition:** Let  $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ . Then

$${}_pF_q([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_q], x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

**Note:** Any such hypergeometric function is D-finite, for example: the classical Gauß hypergeometric  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  function satisfies Euler's differential equation:

$$x(x-1)y''(x) + ((a+b+1)x - c)y'(x) + aby(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, hypergeometric functions of the form  ${}_pF_{p-1}$  provide a natural testing ground for Christol's conjecture.

- ▶ If  $q < p - 1$  then the  ${}_pF_q$  series has zero radius of convergence.
- ▶ If  $q > p - 1$  then the  ${}_pF_q$  series cannot be globally bounded.

## Confirmation

Certain classes of hypergeometric functions confirm Christol's conjecture.

**Theorem (Christol):** Let  $f(x)$  be a hypergeometric series of the form

$$f(x) = {}_pF_{p-1}([a_1, \dots, a_p], [b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}], x)$$

of height

$$h = |\{1 \leq j \leq p \mid b_j \in \mathbb{Z}\}| - |\{1 \leq j \leq p \mid a_j \in \mathbb{Z}\}|$$

(where  $b_p = 1$ ). If  $f(x)$  can be written as the Hadamard product of  $h$  globally bounded series of height 1, then  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of a rational function.

## Example

The globally bounded hypergeometric series

$$f(x) = {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right], [1, 1], x\right)$$

has height 3, and it can be written as the Hadamard product of three hypergeometric series of height 1:

$${}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right) \star {}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right) \star {}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right)$$

## Example

The globally bounded hypergeometric series

$$f(x) = {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right], [1, 1], x\right)$$

has height 3, and it can be written as the Hadamard product of three hypergeometric series of height 1:

$${}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right) \star {}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right) \star {}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right)$$

By noting that  ${}_1F_0\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}\right], [], x\right) = (1 - x)^{-1/3}$ , we see that  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of an algebraic function in three variables:

$$f(x) = \text{Diag}\left((1 - x)^{-1/3} \cdot (1 - y)^{-1/3} \cdot (1 - z)^{-1/3}\right).$$

# Diagonals of Algebraic Functions

**Theorem (Furstenberg):** Any algebraic power series  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of a rational function in two variables.

# Diagonals of Algebraic Functions

**Theorem (Furstenberg):** Any algebraic power series  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of a rational function in two variables.

**Theorem (Denef, Lipshitz):** Any power series in  $\mathbb{Q}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , is the diagonal of a rational function in  $2n$  variables.



# Diagonals of Algebraic Functions

**Theorem (Furstenberg):** Any algebraic power series  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of a rational function in two variables.

**Theorem (Denef, Lipshitz):** Any power series in  $\mathbb{Q}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , is the diagonal of a rational function in  $2n$  variables.

**Theorem (Christol):** A  ${}_pF_{p-1}$  hypergeometric function of height 1 is globally bounded if and only if it is algebraic.

## Situation for ${}_2F_1$ Functions

All globally bounded  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  hypergeometric series are diagonals of rational functions.

## Situation for ${}_2F_1$ Functions

All globally bounded  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  hypergeometric series are diagonals of rational functions.

For example, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

- ▶  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ : in this case the  ${}_2F_1$  function is automatically globally bounded and can be written as the Hadamard product of two (algebraic)  ${}_1F_0$  functions.

## Situation for ${}_2F_1$ Functions

All globally bounded  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  hypergeometric series are diagonals of rational functions.

For example, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

- ▶  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ : in this case the  ${}_2F_1$  function is automatically globally bounded and can be written as the Hadamard product of two (algebraic)  ${}_1F_0$  functions.
- ▶  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ : in this case the  ${}_2F_1$  function is globally bounded if and only if it is algebraic.

## Situation for ${}_2F_1$ Functions

All globally bounded  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [c], x)$  hypergeometric series are diagonals of rational functions.

For example, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

- ▶  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ : in this case the  ${}_2F_1$  function is automatically globally bounded and can be written as the Hadamard product of two (algebraic)  ${}_1F_0$  functions.
- ▶  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ : in this case the  ${}_2F_1$  function is globally bounded if and only if it is algebraic.

Hence, this situation is not particularly interesting for our purposes.

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

When is it easy to see that a globally bounded hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is a diagonal of a rational function?

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

When is it easy to see that a globally bounded hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is a diagonal of a rational function?

- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ , because in this case it can be written as the Hadamard product of three  ${}_1F_0$  algebraic functions.

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

When is it easy to see that a globally bounded hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is a diagonal of a rational function?

- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ , because in this case it can be written as the Hadamard product of three  ${}_1F_0$  algebraic functions.
- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , because in this case the  ${}_3F_2$  function is algebraic.



## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

When is it easy to see that a globally bounded hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is a diagonal of a rational function?

- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ , because in this case it can be written as the Hadamard product of three  ${}_1F_0$  algebraic functions.
- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , because in this case the  ${}_3F_2$  function is algebraic.

Hence the interesting case occurs when only one of the two parameters  $d$  or  $e$  is rational, and the other is an integer.

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

When is it easy to see that a globally bounded hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x)$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  is a diagonal of a rational function?

- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$ , because in this case it can be written as the Hadamard product of three  ${}_1F_0$  algebraic functions.
- ▶ If  $d, e \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , because in this case the  ${}_3F_2$  function is algebraic.

Hence the interesting case occurs when only one of the two parameters  $d$  or  $e$  is rational, and the other is an integer.

But even in this case, a lot of the  ${}_3F_2$  functions are easily seen to be diagonals of rational functions. . .

## Situation for ${}_3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Situation for ${}_3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x) \star {}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x) = \dots$$

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x) \star {}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x) = \dots$$

- ▶ Both  ${}_1F_0([c], x)$  and  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x)$  are diagonals

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x) \star {}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x) = \dots$$

- ▶ Both  ${}_1F_0([c], x)$  and  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x)$  are diagonals
- ▶ Then  $f(x)$  is a diagonal if  ${}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x)$  or  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x)$  is a diagonal (i.e. if one of them is algebraic).

## Situation for $3F_2$ Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x) \star {}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x) = \dots$$

- ▶ Both  ${}_1F_0([c], x)$  and  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x)$  are diagonals
- ▶ Then  $f(x)$  is a diagonal if  ${}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x)$  or  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x)$  is a diagonal (i.e. if one of them is algebraic).
- ▶  ${}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x)$  cannot be an algebraic function (Goursat).



## Situation for 3F2 Functions

Suppose now that  $f(x) = {}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$  is globally bounded, with the parameters  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then there are six ways to write this function as a Hadamard product of hypergeometric functions:

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x) \star {}_1F_0([c], x) = \dots$$

$$f(x) = {}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x) \star {}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x) = \dots$$

- ▶ Both  ${}_1F_0([c], x)$  and  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [1], x)$  are diagonals
- ▶ Then  $f(x)$  is a diagonal if  ${}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x)$  or  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x)$  is a diagonal (i.e. if one of them is algebraic).
- ▶  ${}_2F_1([c, 1], [d], x)$  cannot be an algebraic function (Goursat).

Thus if one of  ${}_2F_1([a, b], [d], x)$ ,  ${}_2F_1([b, c], [d], x)$ ,  ${}_2F_1([a, c], [d], x)$  is algebraic, then  $f(x)$  is the diagonal of a rational function.

## Potential Counterexamples

Potential counterexamples to Christol's conjecture were constructed in a way that avoids them being written as "simple" Hadamard products of algebraic functions.

## Potential Counterexamples

Potential counterexamples to Christol's conjecture were constructed in a way that avoids them being written as “simple” Hadamard products of algebraic functions.

Christol came up with an unresolved example to his conjecture

- ▶ G. Christol, *Fonctions hypergéométriques bornées*, Groupe d'Etude d'Analyse ultramétrique, vol. 14 (1986–1987), Exposé N° 8, p. 1–16.

## Potential Counterexamples

Potential counterexamples to Christol's conjecture were constructed in a way that avoids them being written as “simple” Hadamard products of algebraic functions.

Christol came up with an unresolved example to his conjecture

- ▶ G. Christol, *Fonctions hypergéométriques bornées*, Groupe d'Etude d'Analyse ultramétrique, vol. 14 (1986–1987), Exposé N° 8, p. 1–16.

A longer list was generated by Christol and his co-authors in 2012.

- ▶ A. Bostan, S. Boukraa, G. Christol, S. Hassani, J-M. Maillard *Ising  $n$ -fold integrals as diagonals of rational functions and integrality of series expansions: integrality versus modularity*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46**(18)

## Potential Counterexamples

For example, these two hypergeometric functions are globally bounded, as they can be recast into series with integer coefficients:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 3^6 x\right) = 1 + 120x + 47124x^2 + 23483460x^3 + \dots$$

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 3^6 x\right) = 1 + 84x + 32760x^2 + 16302000x^3 + \dots$$

## Potential Counterexamples

For example, these two hypergeometric functions are globally bounded, as they can be recast into series with integer coefficients:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 3^6 x\right) = 1 + 120x + 47124x^2 + 23483460x^3 + \dots$$

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 3^6 x\right) = 1 + 84x + 32760x^2 + 16302000x^3 + \dots$$

But they cannot be obtained as diagonals through Hadamard products, since the following series are not globally bounded:

$${}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right), \quad {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right), \quad {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right),$$

$${}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}\right], x\right), \quad {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}\right], x\right), \quad {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}\right], x\right).$$

## Not Globally Bounded

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{2/9 \cdot 5/9}{2/3 \cdot 1} \cdot x + \frac{(2/9 \cdot 11/9) \cdot (5/9 \cdot 14/9)}{(2/3 \cdot 5/3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2)} \cdot x^2 + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \frac{2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \dots (9k - 7) \cdot 5 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \dots (9k - 4)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \dots (3k - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots k} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{27}\right)^k + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## Not Globally Bounded

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{2/9 \cdot 5/9}{2/3 \cdot 1} \cdot x + \frac{(2/9 \cdot 11/9) \cdot (5/9 \cdot 14/9)}{(2/3 \cdot 5/3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2)} \cdot x^2 + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \frac{2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \cdots (9k - 7) \cdot 5 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \cdots (9k - 4)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots (3k - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots k} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{27}\right)^k + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p = 3k - 1$  for some  $k$ .



## Not Globally Bounded

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{2/9 \cdot 5/9}{2/3 \cdot 1} \cdot x + \frac{(2/9 \cdot 11/9) \cdot (5/9 \cdot 14/9)}{(2/3 \cdot 5/3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2)} \cdot x^2 + \dots \\ &\dots + \frac{2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \dots (9k - 7) \cdot 5 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \dots (9k - 4)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \dots (3k - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots k} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{27}\right)^k + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p = 3k - 1$  for some  $k$ .

- ▶ If  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$  or if  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$  then it gets cancelled in the  $k$ -th term.

## Not Globally Bounded

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{2/9 \cdot 5/9}{2/3 \cdot 1} \cdot x + \frac{(2/9 \cdot 11/9) \cdot (5/9 \cdot 14/9)}{(2/3 \cdot 5/3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2)} \cdot x^2 + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \frac{2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \cdots (9k - 7) \cdot 5 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \cdots (9k - 4)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots (3k - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots k} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{27}\right)^k + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p = 3k - 1$  for some  $k$ .

- ▶ If  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$  or if  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$  then it gets cancelled in the  $k$ -th term.
- ▶ If  $p \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ , then it survives in the denominator of the  $k$ -th term.

## Not Globally Bounded

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}\right], x\right) &= \\ &= 1 + \frac{2/9 \cdot 5/9}{2/3 \cdot 1} \cdot x + \frac{(2/9 \cdot 11/9) \cdot (5/9 \cdot 14/9)}{(2/3 \cdot 5/3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2)} \cdot x^2 + \dots \\ &\dots + \frac{2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \dots (9k - 7) \cdot 5 \cdot 14 \cdot 23 \dots (9k - 4)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \dots (3k - 1) \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots k} \cdot \left(\frac{x}{27}\right)^k + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p = 3k - 1$  for some  $k$ .

- ▶ If  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$  or if  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$  then it gets cancelled in the  $k$ -th term.
- ▶ If  $p \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ , then it survives in the denominator of the  $k$ -th term.

There are infinitely many prime factors in the Taylor expansion, and therefore the function is not globally bounded.

## Towards Christol

**Theorem:** The hypergeometric functions

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

are diagonals of rational functions.

## Towards Christol

**Theorem:** The hypergeometric functions

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

are diagonals of rational functions.

More precisely, we have:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) = \text{Diag}\left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{1/3}}{1-x-y-z}\right),$$

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) = \text{Diag}\left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{2/3}}{1-x-y-z}\right).$$

## Towards Christol

**Theorem:** The hypergeometric functions

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

are diagonals of rational functions.

More precisely, we have:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) = \text{Diag}\left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{1/3}}{1-x-y-z}\right),$$

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right) = \text{Diag}\left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{2/3}}{1-x-y-z}\right).$$

More generally,  $\text{Diag}\left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{a/b}}{1-x-y-z}\right)$  is shown to evaluate to

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{3a-b}{3a}, \frac{2a-b}{3a}, \frac{a-b}{3a}\right], \left[\frac{a-b}{a}, 1\right], 27x\right).$$

## Proof

The denominator of the algebraic function  $\frac{(1-x-y)^{a/b}}{(1-x-y-z)}$  is expanded as a geometric series:

$$(1-x-y-z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{l} \cdot x^l y^{m-l} z^{n-m},$$

## Proof

The denominator of the algebraic function  $\frac{(1-x-y)^{a/b}}{(1-x-y-z)}$  is expanded as a geometric series:

$$(1-x-y-z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{l} \cdot x^l y^{m-l} z^{n-m},$$

while the numerator can be expanded as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot (x+y)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} x^j y^{k-j}.$$



## Proof

The denominator of the algebraic function  $\frac{(1-x-y)^{a/b}}{(1-x-y-z)}$  is expanded as a geometric series:

$$(1-x-y-z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{l} \cdot x^l y^{m-l} z^{n-m},$$

while the numerator can be expanded as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot (x+y)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} x^j y^{k-j}.$$

Multiplying these two sums and re-indexing, we obtain:

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} x^s y^t z^u \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \binom{k}{j} \binom{s+t+u-k}{s+t-k} \binom{s+t-k}{s-j}.$$

## Proof

Hence the diagonal coefficient of  $x^n y^n z^n$  is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n-j},$$

## Proof

Hence the diagonal coefficient of  $x^n y^n z^n$  is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n-j},$$

which by the Chu-Vandermonde identity

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{k}{j} \binom{2n-k}{n-j}$$

## Proof

Hence the diagonal coefficient of  $x^n y^n z^n$  is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n-j},$$

which by the Chu-Vandermonde identity

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{k}{j} \binom{2n-k}{n-j}$$

is simplified to

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{3n-k}{2n-k}.$$

## Proof

Hence the diagonal coefficient of  $x^n y^n z^n$  is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{k}{j} \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} \binom{2n-k}{n-j},$$

which by the Chu-Vandermonde identity

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{k}{j} \binom{2n-k}{n-j}$$

is simplified to

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{3n-k}{2n-k}.$$

Now use a computer algebra tool like Mathematica or Maple to simplify this sum further into a closed form. . .

## Proof

More precisely, we employ Zeilberger's algorithm to find that

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} =: S(n)$$

satisfies the first-order recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - 3b - 3bn) \cdot (a - 2b - 3bn) \cdot (a - b - 3bn) \cdot S(n) \\ &= b^2 \cdot (n + 1)^2 \cdot (a - b - bn) \cdot S(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof

More precisely, we employ Zeilberger's algorithm to find that

$$\binom{2n}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-a/b)_k}{k!} \cdot \binom{3n-k}{2n-k} =: S(n)$$

satisfies the first-order recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - 3b - 3bn) \cdot (a - 2b - 3bn) \cdot (a - b - 3bn) \cdot S(n) \\ &= b^2 \cdot (n + 1)^2 \cdot (a - b - bn) \cdot S(n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the initial value  $S(0) = 1$ , we get the closed form

$$S(n) = \frac{3^{3n} \cdot \left(\frac{b-a}{3b}\right)_n \cdot \left(\frac{2b-a}{3b}\right)_n \cdot \left(\frac{3b-a}{3b}\right)_n}{\left(\frac{b-a}{b}\right)_n \cdot (n!)^2},$$

yielding the hypergeom. function representation of the diagonal.

## Diagonals as Integrals

Note that a diagonal  $\text{Diag}(R(x, y, z))$  can also be expressed as

$$\langle y^0 z^0 \rangle R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) = \text{res}_{y,z} \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) = \oint \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) dy dz.$$

where  $\langle y^0 z^0 \rangle$  denotes the constant coefficient w.r.t.  $y$  and  $z$ .



## Diagonals as Integrals

Note that a diagonal  $\text{Diag}(R(x, y, z))$  can also be expressed as

$$\langle y^0 z^0 \rangle R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) = \text{res}_{y,z} \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) = \oint \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) dy dz.$$

where  $\langle y^0 z^0 \rangle$  denotes the constant coefficient w.r.t.  $y$  and  $z$ .

Indeed, writing

$$R(x, y, z) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} r_{l,m,n} x^l y^m z^n$$

one obtains

$$R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{l,m,n} x^l y^{m-l} z^{n-m}.$$

## Proof by Creative Telescoping

Compute a linear differential operator that annihilates the diagonal of our algebraic function, by applying creative telescoping to

$$\oint \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) dy dz = \oint \frac{(1 - x/y - y/z)^{a/b}}{yz - xz - y^2 - yz^2} dy dz$$

## Proof by Creative Telescoping

Compute a linear differential operator that annihilates the diagonal of our algebraic function, by applying creative telescoping to

$$\oint \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) dy dz = \oint \frac{(1 - x/y - y/z)^{a/b}}{yz - xz - y^2 - yz^2} dy dz$$

We obtain the following telescoper of order three:

$$\begin{aligned} & b^3 x^2 (1 - 27x) \cdot D_x^3 + b^2 x ((27a - 135b) \cdot x - a + 3b) \cdot D_x^2 \\ & - b \cdot ((9a^2 - 63ab + 114b^2) \cdot x + ab - b^2) \cdot D_x \\ & + (a - 3b) \cdot (a - 2b) \cdot (a - b). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof by Creative Telescoping

Compute a linear differential operator that annihilates the diagonal of our algebraic function, by applying creative telescoping to

$$\oint \frac{1}{yz} R\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right) dy dz = \oint \frac{(1 - x/y - y/z)^{a/b}}{yz - xz - y^2 - yz^2} dy dz$$

We obtain the following telescoper of order three:

$$\begin{aligned} & b^3 x^2 (1 - 27x) \cdot D_x^3 + b^2 x ((27a - 135b) \cdot x - a + 3b) \cdot D_x^2 \\ & - b \cdot ((9a^2 - 63ab + 114b^2) \cdot x + ab - b^2) \cdot D_x \\ & + (a - 3b) \cdot (a - 2b) \cdot (a - b). \end{aligned}$$

One of its solutions is the claimed  ${}_3F_2$  hypergeometric function

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{3a-b}{3a}, \frac{2a-b}{3a}, \frac{a-b}{3a}\right], \left[\frac{a-b}{a}, 1\right], 27x\right).$$

# Software Demo

```
In[1]:- << RISC`HolonomicFunctions`
```

HolonomicFunctions Package version 1.7.3 (21-Mar-2017)  
written by Christoph Koutschan  
Copyright Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC),  
Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria

```
--> Type ?HolonomicFunctions for help.
```

```
In[2]:- alg = (1 - x - y) ^ (1 / 3) / (1 - x - y - z);  
intg = ExpandAll[(alg /. {x -> x / y, y -> y / z}) / (y z)]
```

```
Out[3]:- 
$$\frac{\left(1 - \frac{x}{y} - \frac{y}{z}\right)^{1/3}}{-y^2 - x z + y z - y z^2}$$

```

```
In[4]:- CreativeTelescoping[intg, Der[y], {Der[x], Der[z]}][[1]]
```

```
Out[4]:- 
$$\left\{ \left( 144 x^2 z^2 - 72 x z^3 + 9 z^4 + 72 x z^4 - 18 z^5 - 36 x z^5 + 9 z^6 \right) D_z^2 + \left( -6 x^2 z - 972 x^3 z - 3 x z^2 + 324 x^2 z^2 - 12 x z^3 - 3 x z^4 \right) D_x + \right. \\ \left. \left( 264 x^2 z - 180 x z^2 - 324 x^2 z^2 + 24 z^3 + 366 x z^3 - 66 z^4 - 174 x z^4 + 42 z^5 \right) D_z + \left( 16 x^2 - 46 x z - 540 x^2 z + 6 z^2 + 308 x z^2 - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left( 144 x^2 z - 72 x z^2 + 9 z^3 + 72 x z^3 - 18 z^4 - 36 x z^4 + 9 z^5 \right) D_x D_z + \left( 24 x^2 - 24 x z + 324 x^2 z + 9 z^2 - 6 x z^2 - 27 z^3 - 60 x z^3 + 1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left( 48 x z + 6 z^2 + 108 x z^2 - 48 z^3 + 6 z^4 \right) D_z + \left( 8 x + 16 z + 180 x z - 74 z^2 + 10 z^3 \right), \left( -144 x^3 + 72 x^2 z - 9 x z^2 - 72 x^2 z^2 + 18 x \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left( -336 x^2 + 138 x z + 108 x^2 z - 9 z^2 - 132 x z^2 + 18 z^3 + 48 x z^3 - 9 z^4 \right) D_x + \left( -24 x z + 24 z^2 + 36 x z^2 - 30 z^3 + 6 z^4 \right) D_z + \left( -64 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left( -27 x^2 + 729 x^3 \right) D_x^3 + \left( -72 x + 3402 x^2 \right) D_x^2 + \left( -18 + 2538 x \right) D_x + 80 \right\}$$

```

```
In[5]:- CreativeTelescoping[%, Der[z]][[1]]
```

```
Out[5]:- 
$$\left\{ \left( -27 x^2 + 729 x^3 \right) D_x^3 + \left( -72 x + 3402 x^2 \right) D_x^2 + \left( -18 + 2538 x \right) D_x + 80 \right\}$$

```

```
In[6]:- Annihilator[HypergeometricPFQ[{2/9, 5/9, 8/9}, {2/3, 1}, 27 x], Der[x]]
```

```
Out[6]:- 
$$\left\{ \left( -27 x^2 + 729 x^3 \right) D_x^3 + \left( -72 x + 3402 x^2 \right) D_x^2 + \left( -18 + 2538 x \right) D_x + 80 \right\}$$

```

## From Algebraic to Rational

**Denef and Lipshitz:** For a given algebraic power series  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $n$  variables, construct a rational function  $R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  in  $2n$  variables such that

$$\text{Diag}(R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})) = \text{Diag}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

## From Algebraic to Rational

**Denef and Lipshitz:** For a given algebraic power series  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $n$  variables, construct a rational function  $R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  in  $2n$  variables such that

$$\text{Diag}(R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})) = \text{Diag}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

Moreover, the “partial diagonal” of  $R$ , w.r.t. the pairs of variables

$$(x_1, x_{n+1}), \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_{2n}),$$

yields the algebraic power series  $f$ .

## From Algebraic to Rational

**Denef and Lipshitz:** For a given algebraic power series  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $n$  variables, construct a rational function  $R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  in  $2n$  variables such that

$$\text{Diag}(R(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})) = \text{Diag}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

Moreover, the “partial diagonal” of  $R$ , w.r.t. the pairs of variables

$$(x_1, x_{n+1}), \dots, (x_{n-1}, x_{2n}),$$

yields the algebraic power series  $f$ .

**Example:** We use the three-variable algebraic function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y, z) &= \frac{(1 - x - y)^{1/3}}{1 - x - y - z} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}y + z + \frac{10}{9}xy + \frac{5}{3}xz + \frac{5}{3}yz + \frac{40}{9}xyz + \dots \end{aligned}$$



## Etale Extensions

The minimal polynomial of  $f = \frac{(1-x-y)^{1/3}}{1-x-y-z}$  is given by

$$p(x, y, z, f) = ((x + y + z - 1) \cdot f)^3 + 1 - x - y.$$

Denef and Lipshitz's theorem is formulated for étale extensions, which basically means that  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial f}$  has a nonzero constant coefficient.

## Étale Extensions

The minimal polynomial of  $f = \frac{(1-x-y)^{1/3}}{1-x-y-z}$  is given by

$$p(x, y, z, f) = ((x + y + z - 1) \cdot f)^3 + 1 - x - y.$$

Denef and Lipshitz's theorem is formulated for étale extensions, which basically means that  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial f}$  has a nonzero constant coefficient.

By considering  $\tilde{f} = f - 1$ , i.e. by removing the constant term of  $f$ , we can achieve an étale extension. The minimal polynomial then reads

$$\tilde{p}(x, y, z, f) = ((x + y + z - 1) \cdot (f + 1))^3 + 1 - x - y.$$

and indeed,  $\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial f}(0, 0, 0, 0) = -3 \neq 0$ .

## Special Diagonal

Now, the rational function

$$\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f) = f^2 \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial f}(xf, yf, zf, f)}{\tilde{p}(xf, yf, zf, f)}$$

has the property that  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f)) = \tilde{f}(x, y, z)$ , where the operator  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes a special kind of “diagonalization” with respect to the last variable:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j\right) = \sum_{j=i_1+\dots+i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

## Special Diagonal

Now, the rational function

$$\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f) = f^2 \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial f}(xf, yf, zf, f)}{\tilde{p}(xf, yf, zf, f)}$$

has the property that  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f)) = \tilde{f}(x, y, z)$ , where the operator  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes a special kind of “diagonalization” with respect to the last variable:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j\right) = \sum_{j=i_1+\dots+i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{D}(r(x, y, z, f)) = f(x, y, z)$  for  $r(x, y, z, f) = \tilde{r}(x, y, z, f) + 1$ .

## Special Diagonal

Now, the rational function

$$\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f) = f^2 \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial f}(xf, yf, zf, f)}{\tilde{p}(xf, yf, zf, f)}$$

has the property that  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{r}(x, y, z, f)) = \tilde{f}(x, y, z)$ , where the operator  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes a special kind of “diagonalization” with respect to the last variable:

$$\mathcal{D}\left(\sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j\right) = \sum_{j=i_1+\dots+i_n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n, j} \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{D}(r(x, y, z, f)) = f(x, y, z)$  for  $r(x, y, z, f) = \tilde{r}(x, y, z, f) + 1$ .

In our example we obtain:

$$r(x, y, z, f) = \frac{3f^2 \cdot (f+1)^2 \cdot (xf + yf + zf - 1)^3}{(f+1)^3 \cdot (xf + yf + zf - 1)^3 - xf - yf + 1} + 1.$$

## Rational Function

Transform the rational function  $r$  (that has  $n + 1$  variables) into another rational function (having  $2n$  variables) such that its “true” partial diagonal gives the  $n$ -variable algebraic series  $f$ .

## Rational Function

Transform the rational function  $r$  (that has  $n + 1$  variables) into another rational function (having  $2n$  variables) such that its “true” partial diagonal gives the  $n$ -variable algebraic series  $f$ .

This process consists of a sequence of  $n - 1$  elementary steps, each of which is adding one more variable:

$$r_1(x, y, z, u_1, v_1) = \frac{u_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, u_1) - v_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, v_1)}{u_1 - v_1}$$

## Rational Function

Transform the rational function  $r$  (that has  $n + 1$  variables) into another rational function (having  $2n$  variables) such that its “true” partial diagonal gives the  $n$ -variable algebraic series  $f$ .

This process consists of a sequence of  $n - 1$  elementary steps, each of which is adding one more variable:

$$r_1(x, y, z, u_1, v_1) = \frac{u_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, u_1) - v_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, v_1)}{u_1 - v_1}$$

$$r_2(x, y, z, u_1, u_2, v_2) = \frac{u_2 \cdot r_1(x, y, z, u_1, u_2) - v_2 \cdot r_1(x, y, z, u_1, v_2)}{u_2 - v_2}$$



## Rational Function

Transform the rational function  $r$  (that has  $n + 1$  variables) into another rational function (having  $2n$  variables) such that its “true” partial diagonal gives the  $n$ -variable algebraic series  $f$ .

This process consists of a sequence of  $n - 1$  elementary steps, each of which is adding one more variable:

$$r_1(x, y, z, u_1, v_1) = \frac{u_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, u_1) - v_1 \cdot r(x, y, z, v_1)}{u_1 - v_1}$$

$$r_2(x, y, z, u_1, u_2, v_2) = \frac{u_2 \cdot r_1(x, y, z, u_1, u_2) - v_2 \cdot r_1(x, y, z, u_1, v_2)}{u_2 - v_2}$$

Then  $r_2$  is the desired rational function in six variables.

## Final Result

The hypergeometric series

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{3a-b}{3a}, \frac{2a-b}{3a}, \frac{a-b}{3a}\right], \left[\frac{a-b}{a}, 1\right], 27x\right).$$

is the diagonal of the following rational function in the six variables  $x, y, z, u, v, w$ :

$$1 + \frac{au^3v(1-ux-uy-uz)(1+u)^{a-1}(1-ux-uy-uz)^{a-1}}{(1+u)^a(1-ux-uy-uz)^a - (1-ux-uy)^b(u-v)(v-w)} \\ - \frac{av^4(1-vx-vy-vz)(1+v)^{a-1}(1-vx-vy-vz)^{a-1}}{(1+v)^a(1-vx-vy-vz)^a - (1-vx-vy)^b(u-v)(v-w)} \\ - \frac{au^3w(1-ux-uy-uz)(1+u)^{a-1}(1-ux-uy-uz)^{a-1}}{(1+u)^a(1-ux-uy-uz)^a - (1-ux-uy)^b(u-w)(v-w)} \\ - \frac{aw^4(1-wx-wy-wz)(1+w)^{a-1}(1-wx-wy-wz)^{a-1}}{(1+w)^a(1-wx-wy-wz)^a - (1-wx-wy)^b(u-w)(v-w)}$$

## Other Potential Counterexamples

Christol's original example:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

## Other Potential Counterexamples

Christol's original example:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

It seems that this example cannot be treated in a similar way.

## Other Potential Counterexamples

Christol's original example:

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$$

It seems that this example cannot be treated in a similar way.

Note that our examples,

$${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{5}{9}, \frac{8}{9}\right], \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right], x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], x\right),$$

have an arithmetic progression in the top parameters.

## Integral Representation

Recalling the integral representation of the hypergeometric function

$${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x) = \frac{\Gamma(d) \Gamma(e)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(d-a) \Gamma(e-b)} \times \\ \times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 y^{a-1} z^{b-1} (1-y)^{-a+d-1} (1-z)^{-b+e-1} (1-xyz)^{-c} dy dz$$

one can try to find suitable algebraic functions. . .

## Integral Representation

Recalling the integral representation of the hypergeometric function

$${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, e], x) = \frac{\Gamma(d) \Gamma(e)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(d-a) \Gamma(e-b)} \times \\ \times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 y^{a-1} z^{b-1} (1-y)^{-a+d-1} (1-z)^{-b+e-1} (1-xyz)^{-c} dy dz$$

one can try to find suitable algebraic functions. . .

For example, let

$$A(x, y, z) = (1-y)^{d-b-1} y^b (1-xy^2)^{-a} (1-z)^{-c}$$

then the telescoper of

$$\frac{1}{yz} A\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{z}, z\right)$$

gives precisely the differential equation of  ${}_3F_2([a, b, c], [d, 1], x)$ .

## Integral Representation

Taking the parameter values  $a = \frac{1}{9}$ ,  $b = \frac{4}{9}$ ,  $c = \frac{5}{9}$ ,  $d = \frac{1}{3}$ , one could hope that the diagonal of the algebraic function

$$\frac{y^{4/9}}{(1-y)^{10/9} (1-xy^2)^{1/9} (1-z)^{5/9}}$$

gives rise to the notorious  ${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$ .



## Integral Representation

Taking the parameter values  $a = \frac{1}{9}$ ,  $b = \frac{4}{9}$ ,  $c = \frac{5}{9}$ ,  $d = \frac{1}{3}$ , one could hope that the diagonal of the algebraic function

$$\frac{y^{4/9}}{(1-y)^{10/9} (1-xy^2)^{1/9} (1-z)^{5/9}}$$

gives rise to the notorious  ${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$ .

But, this diagonal is **zero**!

## Integral Representation

Taking the parameter values  $a = \frac{1}{9}$ ,  $b = \frac{4}{9}$ ,  $c = \frac{5}{9}$ ,  $d = \frac{1}{3}$ , one could hope that the diagonal of the algebraic function

$$\frac{y^{4/9}}{(1-y)^{10/9} (1-xy^2)^{1/9} (1-z)^{5/9}}$$

gives rise to the notorious  ${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$ .

But, this diagonal is **zero**!

**Note:** The diagonal of a rational function and a solution of the corresponding telescoper are close, yet distinct notions: the telescoper annihilates the  $n$ -fold integral over all integration cycles.

# Open Problems

## Future Work:

- ▶ Show that  ${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$  can be expressed as a diagonal of a rational function.
- ▶ Prove Christol's conjecture in general. . .

# Open Problems

## Future Work:

- ▶ Show that  ${}_3F_2\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}, \frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right], \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right], 27x\right)$  can be expressed as a diagonal of a rational function.
- ▶ Prove Christol's conjecture in general. . .

## Reference:

- ▶ Y. Abdelaziz, C. Koutschan, J-M. Maillard, *On Christol's conjecture*, arXiv:1912.10259.